# **Attachment D**

## Summary of Submissions and Responses

### Community submissions

Submissions were received from 11 members of the community.

Summary of key matter raised in submission	Officer's response	
	anded retail area	
	(raised in 8 submissions)	
<ul> <li>Eight submissions expressed general support for the proposed expanded retail area (North Alexandria). Key reasons for support include:</li> <li>an appreciation that the planning proposal will allow locals to walk to large format retail instead of having to drive to shopping centres further away;</li> <li>an increase opportunity for larger retail will complement current opportunities in the area; and</li> <li>it will create more opportunity for retail in walking distance of densely populated areas.</li> </ul>	Support for the planning proposal is noted.	
Parking and I	loading zones	
	submissions)	
Three submissions raise the need for more diverse forms of parking and loading needed to support retail development, including: • short-term parking; • cargo bike parking (wider cycle parking); • drop-off zones; • waiting zones; • loading zones; and • kiss and ride facilities. One submission suggests that larger cargo bikes could help to replace car trips and reduce traffic in the area.	This planning proposal, while primarily focused on expanding opportunities for supermarkets in the Green Square Area, also includes provision to amend the Sydney LEP to introduce a maximum parking rate for retail with a combined gross floor area greater than 2,000 square metres. More detailed provisions related to parking and loading are included in the Sydney DCP 2012 and do not fall within the scope of this planning proposal. This submission has been referred for consideration in the broader review of the City's LEP and DCP controls.	

Summary of key matter raised in submission	Officer's response
Road and footpath infrastructure	
(raised in 2 submissions)	
Two submissions raise concerns about the road and footpath infrastructure in the proposed expanded retail area. One submission expressed concern about how the council plans to manage an increase in traffic and whether the existing roads and footpaths can safely accommodate the additional traffic when it consists of a wide range of users including cyclists and trucks. The other submission raises the need for an improved cycling network to improve accessibility, particularly east/west connections.	<ul> <li>Locating supermarkets in centres and close to dense residential communities reduces the need for people to drive to supermarkets outside the area. The proposed changes do not increase the total retail floor space permitted overall hence minimal changes to traffic impacts are expected. Additionally, each development will also be required to assess pedestrian and traffic impacts as part of the subsequent development application process. The following initiatives will also improve the road and footpath environment in the area:</li> <li>City of Sydney Cycling Strategy and Action Plan;</li> <li>provision of east-west public/active transport link from Erskineville and Green Square Town Centre currently under construction;</li> <li>land dedication requirements in North Alexandria as sites are redeveloped will widen paths and create new streets and lanes;</li> <li>Green Square and Waterloo Transport Action Plan; and</li> <li>behaviour change campaign at Green Square to encourage sustainable transport use.</li> </ul>
Support for more diverse retail	types in the extended retail area
	submissions)
Two submissions request more diverse forms of retail be provided in the area, specifically clothing stores and unique shops.	The expanded retail area will encourage the creation of additional retail floor space. This provides opportunity for new types of retail to emerge. Large supermarkets are important anchors for smaller retail, often forming the nucleus of local centres where people come to grocery shop, but also visit smaller surrounding shops while there. As more supermarkets locate in the area, it will create opportunities for smaller and more diverse forms of retail to be provided.
Support for parking caps for large retail	
(raised in 2 submissions)	

Summary of key matter relad in submission	
Summary of key matter raised in submission	Officer's response
Two submissions support the implementation	Support is noted.
of a parking cap for large retail to manage	
parking demand and encourage alternative	
modes of transportation, such as walking,	
cycling, and public transit.	
Support for more entertainme	ent in the extended retail area
(raised in 1	submissions)
One submission request more music venues	This planning proposal is to primarily to
and small bars and such in the expanded retail	increase opportunities for supermarkets in the
area.	extended retail area and is not related to the
	provision of entertainment venues.
	Notwithstanding this, the City has revised
	controls in the North Alexandria area in the
	past few years to create opportunities for
	music venues and small bars by maximising
	trading hours and supporting the delivery of an
	enhanced public domain.
General support for	existing retail policies
	submissions)
One submission expressed general support for	Support for the City's existing retail provisions
the City's existing retail provisions, including	is noted.
the cap on retail floor space.	
	This planning proposal is to primarily to
The submission cited the benefits of the	increase opportunities for supermarkets in the
existing retail cap, which has allowed larger	area and is not directly related to the provision
spaces to be occupied by indoor recreation facilities.	of community facilities / sporting facilities.
	Notwithstanding the above, it is noted the City
	has acquired land in the North Alexandria
	precinct to meet the shortage of recreation
	space/sporting facilities in the area.
	While removing the floor space cap from the
	expanded retail area will provide opportunities
	for larger retail, indoor recreation facilities are
	already permitted across large parts of the
	southern enterprise area.
	southern enterprise area.
Preference for smaller	r retail over large retail
	submission)
One submission suggests that small and unique	The Review supporting this planning proposal
businesses are preferred over larger businesses	examines whether there is enough space
in the area.	available based on existing planning controls to
	address the lack of retail options.
The submitter notes that larger businesses	
make it harder for small businesses to compete	It found that while small retail stores are
and takes away from the area's character.	permitted under the planning controls, there
	isn't sufficient opportunity for larger retail

Summary of key matter raised in submission	Officer's response
The submission cites the success of Gadigal	spaces, in particular supermarkets, in the Town
Avenue in Waterloo as an example of the	Centre.
benefits of small floor plate retail.	
	Large supermarkets are important anchors for
The submitter suggests that the trend in the	smaller retail, often forming the nucleus of
area is towards smaller floor plates and mixed-	local centres where people come to grocery
use streets, attracts different stores.	shop, but also visit smaller surrounding shops
	while there.
	As more supermarkets locate in the area, it will
	create opportunities for smaller and more
	diverse forms of retail to be provided.
-	e wider Green Square area submission)
One submission raised concerns regarding a	This planning proposal is to primarily to
shortage of schools in the area, suggesting the	increase opportunities for supermarkets in the
need for new schools or expanded schools to	area and is not related to the provision of
accommodate the growing population.	schools in the area.
	Notwithstanding this, a new Green Square
	public school is currently under construction by
	the Department of Education for 600 students.
	It will offer shared facilities with the community
	such as basketball and other sports. The 600
	spaces supplement the 1,000 primary and
	1,200 secondary students at the Alexandria
	Park coeducational school recently rebuilt for
	more than \$100 million.
Impact on vibrancy	and amenity of area
(raised in 1	submission)
One submissions requests that large floor plate	The draft Planning Proposal includes a draft
retail demonstrate how they will add to the	Clause to require all retail in the expanded
vibrancy and amenity of the area.	retail areas to front a public place and to locate
	the primary entry so that it is from a public
	place. The intent of the proposed control is to
	prevent 'inward' facing retail, such as shopping
	centres or malls.
	The inclusion of this control will ensure that
	retail contributes to the vibrancy and amenity
	of the area by activating and enhancing the
	public domain.
022.025.025.025.025.0111	atorios Diapping Proposal
923-935 Bourke Street Waterloo Planning Proposal (raised in 1 submission)	
(raised in 1 submission)	

Summary of key matter raised in submission	Officer's response
One submission refers to the 923-935 Bourke	In relation to the 923-935 Bourke Street
Street planning proposal, exhibited at the same	planning proposal, the appropriateness of retail
time as this planning proposal, noting that it is	at this location has been addressed in the post-
outside of the expanded retail area and it	exhibition Council report for this planning
shouldn't be supported.	proposal. In summary, the planning proposal
	addresses the shortfall of supermarket floor
	space in the Waterloo area.

#### Landowner submission - 1-3 Rosebery Avenue

A submission was received from a landowner located outside the expanded retail area.

Summary of key matter raised in submission	Officer's response
	venue, Rosebery
<ul> <li>Supports key elements of the Retail Review including:</li> <li>updated population projections</li> <li>retail clustering definitions</li> <li>the need to deliver a holistic supermarket and retail network</li> <li>support for reviewing the study every five years.</li> </ul>	Support for key elements noted.
States that Rosebery North is identified as a retail cluster/centre.	The identification of Rosebery north as a retail cluster does not refer to a designation of a level in a Centre hierarchy. The Study says (Page 52) that 'It is important not to conflate 'retail clusters' and 'retail centres'. Retail clusters are used for modelling purposes only.
States that supermarket accessibility mapping completed in the review shows that there are a number of recognised gaps, including Rosebery North.	The Rosebery accessibility gap was shown in Figure 15 of the Study. Note that there are significant sites yet to be developed which will fill this gap.
States that Rosebery and the southern areas of the village are only served by two small supermarkets (of less than 1,000m2 each), with no large or standard supermarket provided in the area (existing or proposed).	The planning proposal for the Suttons Site in Epsom Park was endorsed by Council for finalisation in June 2023. It includes provision for a 1,200 square metre supermarket. If 1-3 Rosebery Avenue includes a small supermarket in its redevelopment, it will mean that there will be two new supermarkets in addition to the two existing supermarkets in the area. This will be enough to close this accessibility gap without the need for a large supermarket that will detract from the identified centres.
	The retail cap encourages a more dispersed pattern of smaller supermarkets and ancillary retail for day-to-day needs with larger supermarkets supporting identified centres. This pattern enables for more people to be within walking distance of a supermarket while also supporting the vitality of major centres, such as the Green Square Town Centre.

Summary of key matter raised in submission	Officer's response
The submission refers to the significant	The Green Square and Southern Areas Retail
population growth forecast in Green Square	Review was completed in 2022. The City
and City South, specifically the concentrated	prepared a planning proposal and draft DCP,
development occurring around the Rosebery	responding to the recommendations of the
North area.	review to remove the retail floor space cap to
The submission estimates that within 500	the west of Green Square Town Centre.
metres of the subject site, around 5,222	Projected dwellings, population growth and
additional dwellings or 10,000 people are	anticipated supermarket expenditure per capita
forecast based on recent completions and	has all been factored in as part of the Review.
known developments.	has an been ractored in as part of the never.
Based on this projected growth and the additional supermarket expenditure this would	The Review identified the need for an additional 9,256sqm of supermarket floor space to 2041 with an existing shortfall of 2,112sqm.
generate, it projects that this could support two large supermarkets.	This figure is in addition to a number of
	supermarkets in the study area that are
The level of additional retail expenditure	approved but not yet built.
suggests that new retail facilities should be	
accommodated to support growth in the community and a holistic retail hierarchy in the	The Retail Study did not recommend removal of
Green Square and City South Village.	the retail cap on or near the submitter's site as
Sicen square and eity south vinage.	it was not identified as a retail centre and there
	was only a small forecast of under provision of
	supermarket floor area in the "Rosebery North"
	cluster.
	Forming a centre with a larger supermarket at
	this location contradicts the recommendations
	of the Retail Study and is not supported.
	However, delivery of a smaller supermarket is
	considered acceptable and consistent with the
	recommendations of the Retail Study.
Highlights strong potential for additional retail	The planning proposal creates no impediment
and supermarket floor space in Rosebery, with	to the provision of a small supermarket at this
the subject site at 1-3 Rosebery Avenue ideally	site.
positioned due to its central location within the	
identified Rosebery North cluster.	

#### **Public Authority Submissions**

The following public authorities were contacted:

- Transport for NSW
- Transport Asset Holding Entity of New South Wales
- NSW Environment and Heritage (EHG)
- Fire and Rescue NSW
- Airservices Australia
- Sydney Airport
- Civil Aviation Safety Authority

Transport Asset Holding Entity of New South Wales and Fire and Rescue NSW declined to provide comment on this proposal.

Summary of key matter raised in submission	Officer's response
Transpor	t for NSW
<ul> <li>Supports the planning proposal as:</li> <li>it provides an appropriate mix of uses in a highly accessible area, serving the growing population and reducing the need for private vehicles;</li> </ul>	Support for planning proposal noted. Recommended projects and actions to improve connectivity in and around this precinct and to promote sustainable transport use are noted
<ul> <li>the existing 1000 square metre retail cap in the expanded retail area limits retail options, forcing residents to drive to other retail centres and increasing traffic</li> </ul>	and have been referred to the City's Transport team. The City continues to work with Transport for
<ul> <li>congestion on the local road network; and</li> <li>the public domain and active transport opportunities facilitated by the recently</li> </ul>	NSW to align our bicycle network to the Eastern Harbour City Strategic Cycleway Corridors.
finalised Enterprise Area Review proposal would complement the new opportunity for large format retail and support sustainable travel behaviour.	The City assesses transport impacts as part of the development application process. Proponents are encouraged to demonstrate how they will contribute to meeting the City's
TfNSW recommends that Council:	travel targets as set out in Sustainable Sydney 2030-2050, including 10% cycle mode share.
<ul> <li>consider integrating future cycleways in the study area with the Eastern Harbour City Strategic Cycleway Corridors in consultation with TfNSW;</li> <li>provide measures that contribute to the City's target for future sustainable transport mode use when assessing development applications;</li> <li>consider its Freight and Servicing Urban</li> </ul>	The City has rates for loading and servicing spaces in our DCP that we ask developers to incorporate into proposals. However, the City frequently uses the Freight and Servicing Last Mile Toolkit to cross check advice and to provide other guidance on managing the freight task.
<ul> <li>Consider its Freight and Servicing Orban Last Mile Toolkit to give consideration and guidance to proposed freight and servicing demands for high density urban areas; and</li> <li>contact Sydney Metro/Trains and Transport Asset Holding Entity early in the design process as part of assessing development</li> </ul>	The City will continue to work with TAHE, Metro and TfNSW on all development applications in the metro rail corridor.

and institute on level in the metry will	
applications on land in the metro rail corridor.	
Heritage NSW	
Expanded retail area contains two local heritage items. Council is the consent authority responsible for the assessment and consideration of any impacts regarding local heritage. States there are no identified impacts on any Aboriginal objects or places and/or State Heritage Register items or historic archaeology.	The submission is noted. No changes to the planning proposal and draft DCP, as exhibited, are recommended in response to this submission.
Sydney Airport	
The Obstacle Limitation Surface for Sydney Airport over the site is 156m AHD. It states that any proposed development designed to be taller than the Obstacle Limitation Surface (including construction cranes) may not be approved under the Airports (Protection of Airspace) Regulations 1996. Approval to operate construction equipment (i.e. cranes) should be obtained prior to any commitment to construct.	The submission is noted. No further action is required as there is no proposed increase in height.
Civil Aviation S	afety Authority
There is no proposed increase in height.	The submission is noted.
CASA has no objection to the proposal as presented.	No further action is required.
Airservice	s Australia
Airservices have no specific comments to make on rezoning proposals or any particular aspect of this proposed redevelopment.	The submission is noted. No further action is required.
Subsequent development proposals may require a separate assessment.	